UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,578	03/27/2007	Katsuya Yamashita	40030647-03	5502
	7590	EXAMINER		
ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR			NGUYEN, KHANH V	
STAMFORD, CT 06901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/579,5	78	YAMASHITA, KATSUYA				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Khanh V.	Nguyen	2817				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	dress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE OF TI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- nication. utory period will apply and w ill, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNI vent, however, may a vill expire SIX (6) MOI plication to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 March 2007	,					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 March 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	•	,				
· ·		ligation						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. N Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are allowed.							
6) <u> </u>	6)☑ Claim(s) <u>/-/</u> is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election i	requirement					
·		on analor didulon i	oquiromoni.					
Applicati —	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) <mark>⊡</mark> accepted or b)∏ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTonation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/17/06</u> .	O-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/579,578 Page 2

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

QUAYLE

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 2, 5-7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 5, "the signals" should correctly be -- signals --.

Claim 1, line 7, "the current" should correctly be -- current --.

Claim 1, line 9, "said output terminal part" should correctly be -- said output part -

-.

Claim 1, line 12, "the signals" should correctly be -- signals --.

Claim 1, line 15, "effective" should correctly be -- enabled --.

Claim 1, line 16, "ineffective" should correctly be -- disabled --.

Claim 1, line 18, "ineffective" should correctly be -- disabled --.

Claim 1, line 20, "effective" should correctly be -- enabled --.

Claim 2, page 20, line 1, should "second external signalses" be -- second external signal --? Note, claim 1, lines 16-17, discloses "a first external signal" in singular form.

Claim 2, line 1, "ineffective" should correctly be -- disabled --.

Claim 2, line 3, "ineffective" should correctly be -- disabled --.

Claim 2, line 3, "the output" should correctly be -- output --.

Claim 5, lines 21-22, "the signals" should correctly be -- signals --.

Claim 5, page 21, line 1, "the current" should correctly be -- current --.

Claim 5, page 21, line 3, "said output terminal part" should correctly be -- said output part --.

Claim 5, page 21, line 6, "the signals" should correctly be -- signals --.

Claim 5, page 21, line 9, "effective" should correctly be -- enabled --.

Claim 5, page 21, line 10, "ineffective" should correctly be -- disabled --.

Claim 5, page 21, line 12, "ineffective" should correctly be -- disabled --.

Claim 5, page 21, line 14, "effective" should correctly be -- enabled --.

Claim 6, lines 20-21, "the signals" should correctly be -- signals --.

Claim 6, line 23, "the current" should correctly be -- current --.

Claim 6, page 22, line 1, "said output terminal part" should correctly be -- said output part --.

Claim 6, page 22, line 4, "the signals" should correctly be -- signals --.

Claim 6, page 22, line 7, "effective" should correctly be -- enabled --.

Claim 6, page 22, line 8, "ineffective" should correctly be -- disabled --.

Claim 6, page 22, line 10, "ineffective" should correctly be -- disabled --.

Claim 6, page 22, line 12, "effective" should correctly be -- enabled --.

Claim 7, lines 18-19, "the signals" should correctly be -- signals --.

Claim 7, line 21, "the current" should correctly be -- current --.

Claim 7, line 23, "said output terminal part" should correctly be -- said output part

--.

Claim 7, page 23, line 2, "the signals" should correctly be -- signals --.

Claim 7, page 23, line 5, "effective" should correctly be -- enabled --.

Claim 7, page 23, line 6, "ineffective" should correctly be -- disabled --.

Claim 7, page 23, line 8, "ineffective" should correctly be -- disabled --.

Claim 7, page 23, line 10, "effective" should correctly be -- enabled --.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7 are allowed.

Claims 1-7 call for, among others, multiple input parts is made effective and the others of the input parts are made ineffective in response to a first external signal, the impedance of the first input terminal, the second input terminal, and the output terminal of the ineffective input parts become high and the output current from the first output terminal become zero, and only the signals input to the effective input parts are thereby amplified.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/579,578 Page 5

Art Unit: 2817

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references (Maschhoff (6,489,845); Carreto et al. (7,307,477)) show further analogous prior art circuitry.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Khanh Van Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2817 Application/Control Number: 10/579,578

Page 6

Art Unit: 2817